# Infrastructure - Story #7650

# DAO for SystemMetadata changes the SystemMetadata.replicationPolicy

2016-02-17 20:02 - Rob Nahf

Status:	New	Start date:	2016-02-17	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:		
Assignee:	Rob Nahf	% Done:	0%	
Category:	d1_cn_common	Estimated time:	0.00 hour	
Target version:	Release Backlog			
Story Points:				
Description				
	oMetacatImpl (in d1_cn_common) sets replication of supposed to alter the ReplicationPolicy.	ion_allowed to false in	n situations of a r	null ReplicationPolicy, even
in keeping with that	rically taken the approach of opting into replicati . However, the DAO layer seems to be the wron logic. (and updateSystemMetadata).			
	lay here: ntics of a null replicationPolicy be "use the CN d add or remove replicas at will."?	efault behavior at the	time of submissi	ion" or "I don't care" -
	ed to persist a default ReplicationPolicy. If the I default polices based on MN versions of system		ove the addition	of a ReplicationPolicy, and
1. should the DA	O implemention apply the business rules about	default values, or sho	uld it be refactor	ed to d1_synchronization
and updateSys	stemMEtadata?			
	stemMEtadata?			

### History

#### #1 - 2016-02-17 23:26 - Rob Nahf

- Tracker changed from Bug to Story

- Description updated

- Subject changed from DAOaccess for SystemMetadata changes the SystemMetadata.replicationPolicy to DAO for SystemMetadata changes the SystemMetadata.replicationPolicy

- Priority changed from Urgent to Normal

#### #2 - 2016-04-19 21:42 - Rob Nahf

- Description updated

DataONE should continue to have "opt-in" semantics, and so it is proper for the CN to populate a default replication policy if none is provided, and set replication\_allowed to false.

What is the impact of refactoring? maybe there's a good central place where "null defaults" can be set...

#### #3 - 2016-04-19 22:18 - Rob Nahf

- Assignee set to Rob Nahf

#### #4 - 2016-04-19 22:40 - Chris Jones

Just as a side note, the SystemMetadataDAOMetacatImpl, IIRC, was originally written for the d1\_tidy process when we needed to clean up system metadata across CNs. Perhaps it was adopted into d1\_cn\_common, and some of the policy decisions that were meant for the tidy process were

pulled in too, perhaps inadvertently.

## #5 - 2016-05-24 17:53 - Robert Waltz

- Target version changed from CCI-2.2.0 to Release Backlog

### #6 - 2016-06-13 23:13 - Rob Nahf

- Description updated

## #7 - 2018-01-17 19:31 - Dave Vieglais

- Sprint set to Infrastructure backlog

### #8 - 2018-07-04 11:19 - Dave Vieglais

- Related to Story #8639: Replication performance is too slow to service demand added