

## Infrastructure - Task #6858

Feature # 6498 (Closed): V2 Metacat MN and CN Support

### Access control on MN.updateSystemMetadata and CN.updateSystemMetadata

2015-02-19 20:05 - Jing Tao

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	2015-02-19
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Jing Tao	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	CCI-2.0.0	<b>Story Points:</b>	
<b>Milestone:</b>	None		
<b>Product Version:</b>	*		
<b>Description</b>			
Who are allowed to call the two methods? Should CN and MN be same? Should CN.updateSystemMetadata and CN.changeAccessPolicy be same?			

#### History

##### #1 - 2015-04-15 19:53 - Jing Tao

- Assignee set to Jing Tao

##### #2 - 2015-04-21 21:39 - Jing Tao

For MN.updateSystemMetadata, those client has the permission:

- Owner of object
- Authoritative Member Node
- Coordinating Node (no, because MN is authoritative)
- user subjects with the change permission

##### #3 - 2015-04-21 21:41 - Jing Tao

- Subject changed from Access control on MN.updateSystemMetadata and CN.updateSystemMetadata to Access control on MN.updateSystemMetadata and CN.synchronizeObjects

For CN.synchronizeObjects, only the authoritative MN can call it.

##### #4 - 2015-04-30 22:03 - Jing Tao

- Subject changed from Access control on MN.updateSystemMetadata and CN.synchronizeObjects to Access control on MN.updateSystemMetadata and CN.updateSystemMetadata

Only CN can call CN.updateSystemMetadata.

##### #5 - 2015-05-01 23:57 - Jing Tao

- Status changed from New to Closed
- translation missing: en.field\_remaining\_hours set to 0.0
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

The above rules were implemented in MNodeService and CNodeService.