

## Infrastructure - Feature #220

### Add object class to system metadata

2010-02-03 20:06 - Dave Vieglais

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	High	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Dave Vieglais	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	Documentation	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	CCI-0.3 Deployed	<b>Story Points:</b>	
<b>Milestone:</b>	None		
<b>Product Version:</b>	*		

#### Description

There is currently an attribute for "objectFormat" in the "system metadata schema": <http://mule1.dataone.org/ArchitectureDocs/SystemMetadata.html>, from which the object class (i.e. metadata, data or something else) can be determined, though this is a potentially ambiguous property (e.g. objectFormat="text/xml", or perhaps the data is contained within a metadata document).

Suggestion is to add a new attribute "objectClass", the values of which would be drawn from a controlled vocabulary of terms for different classes of object in [DataONE](#).

[ObjectFormat](#) would then refer specifically to the format that the object is expressed as, and objectClass would specifically identify the referenced object as a data object or a science metadata object.

#### History

##### #1 - 2010-02-18 01:34 - Dave Vieglais

Needs further discussion - but sysmeta is fine for current operations.

##### #2 - 2010-02-19 21:39 - Dave Vieglais

This is a larger issue that requires additional discussion. Solution for now is to keep things as is and use a lookup table that maintains a list of the different types of information.

An edge case is the situation where data is embedded in EML - in such a situation, the same document would receive two identifiers, one indicating data and metadata. In this case, the [ObjectFormat](#) would be the same for both - so there would be no way to distinguish if the object being pointed to is in fact data or metadata.

##### #3 - 2010-03-16 04:08 - Dave Vieglais

The current model for objectFormat is sufficient. Revisit when necessary.