

Infrastructure - Bug #1465

mn update documentation not clear with regard to the 2 pids

2011-04-06 17:19 - Rob Nahf

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2011-04-06
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Dave Vieglais	% Done:	100%
Category:	Documentation	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:		Story Points:	
Milestone:	None		
Product Version:	*		

Description

at http://mule1.dataone.org/ArchitectureDocs-current/apis/MN_APIs.html#MN_storage.update

the return comment seems to be wrong:

The identifier that was used to insert the document into the system, which should be the same as pid.

- correct to: "... which should be the same as *newPid*."

History

#1 - 2011-04-06 17:58 - Dave Vieglais

- Status changed from New to Closed

yup. docs updated, change will be propagated with next commit.

#2 - 2011-04-06 19:07 - Rob Nahf

- Status changed from Closed to In Progress

found another spot where the doc for update is confusing. Where it says: "The object that is being obsoleted is passed in as a parameter, ..."

I think it would be better to say:

"The *pid* of the object that is being obsoleted is passed in as a parameter, ..."

#3 - 2011-04-06 19:39 - Dave Vieglais

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed

Try again...

#4 - 2011-04-06 21:37 - Rob Nahf

- Status changed from Closed to In Progress

found another typo in the error section:

"The update operation failed because the object which was supposed to be updated in the system (indicated via the *obsoletedPid* parameter) is not present in the DataONE system, so update is an illegal operation."

should be: ... (indicated via the *pid* parameter) ...

#5 - 2011-08-30 02:11 - Dave Vieglais

- *Position set to 33*

#6 - 2011-11-03 19:49 - Dave Vieglais

- *Milestone set to None*

- *Status changed from In Progress to Closed*